

Viking CCS Pipeline

8.20 Statement of Common Ground - Phillips 66 - (Clean)

Document Reference: EN070008/EXAM/8.20

Applicant: Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited,
a Harbour Energy Company
PINS Reference: EN070008
Planning Act 2008 (as amended)
The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms
and Procedure) Regulations 2009 - Regulation 5(2)(q)
Date: June 2024

Quality information

Prepared by		Checked by		Verified by		Approved by
Various		Various		Jeni Braton Development Consent Order Analyst		Paul Davis Onshore Development Manager

This Draft Statement of Common Ground has been agreed between Chrysaor Production (UK) Limited and P66 Limited on the day specified below

<p>Signed:</p> <p>Print Name:</p> <p>Job Title:</p> <p>Date:</p> <p>Duly Authorised for and on behalf of P66 Limited</p>
<p>Signed:</p> <p>Print Name:</p> <p>Job Title:</p> <p>Date:</p> <p>Duly Authorised for and on behalf of Chrysaor Production (UK) Limited</p>

Revision History

Revision	Revision date	Details
Rev 1.0		

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1	Overview.....	1-1
1.2	The Role of #.....	1-1
1.3	Purpose of this Statement of Common Ground.....	1-1
1.4	Status of this Statement of Common Ground.....	1-1
2	Summary of Consultation and Discussions	2-2
3	Position of the Parties.....	3-3
4	References	4-6

Tables

Table 2-1	Record of meetings and correspondence with #.....	2-2
Table 3-1	Position of the Parties.....	3-4

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by Chrysaor Production (UK) Ltd (the 'Applicant') in conjunction with Phillips 66 UK Limited ('P66'), together the Parties, in respect of the Viking CCS Pipeline project (the 'Proposed Development').

1.1.2 The SoCG sets out the matters of agreement between the Applicant and P66 and also explains those matters which, at the time of writing, remain unresolved between the Parties. The agreements to date have been reached through consultation and continuing discussions between the Parties through online meetings.

1.2 The Role of P66

1.2.1 The P66 Humber Refinery is located on the east coast, in North Lincolnshire, UK. It processes crude oil supplied primarily from the North Sea and includes light, low- and medium sulphur and acidic crude oil. It is one of the most sophisticated refineries in Europe. The Immingham Facilities forming part of the Proposed Development are to be sited adjacent to the Humber Refinery, on land owned by P66.

1.3 Purpose of this Statement of Common Ground

1.3.1 The purpose of this document is to summarise the agreements reached between the Parties on matters relevant to the examination of the application and to assist the Examining Authority ('ExA'). It also sets out the matters that remain unresolved at the time of writing, but which both Parties are working positively toward resolving. As such, it is expected that further iterations of the SoCG will be submitted to the ExA throughout the Examination and prior to the making of any Development Consent Order ('DCO') for the Proposed Development.

1.3.2 The SoCG has been prepared with regard to the guidance in 'Planning Act 2008: examination of applications for development consent' (Department for Communities and Local Government, March 2015).

1.3.3 The remainder of this SoCG is structured as follows:

- Section 2 – Summary of consultation and discussions; and
- Section 3 - Position of the Parties

1.4 Status of this Statement of Common Ground

1.4.1 This SoCG is currently in draft form.

2 Summary of Consultation and Discussions

Introduction

2.1.1 In addition to the consultation undertaken as part of statutory consultation, there have been a number of meetings and correspondence relating to the Proposed Development. Details of various meetings and key correspondence are set out in Table 2-1 Record of meetings and correspondence with #.Table 2-1 below.

Table 2-1 Record of meetings and correspondence with #.

Date of meeting/ correspondence	Description of meeting/correspondence
Numerous	<p>Technical discussions have been held over a number of years, as P66 is an anchor emitter, which is committing to request sequencing to the Proposed Development and part of the Track 2 process. The discussions have focused on entry specifications to the Proposed Development as well as the siting of the Immingham facilities.</p> <p>The Applicant and P66 have also been engaged on agreeing necessary land rights for the Proposed Development.</p>

3 Position of the Parties

3.1.1 Table 3-1 sets out the position of the Parties relating to the following topics:

-

3.1.2 To provide clarity, each of the matters for which a position has been attributed have been colour coded as follows:

	<p>Agreed</p> <p>The matter is agreed between the Parties, or there are no significant disagreement such that the matter is considered closed.</p>
	<p>Not agreed - no material impact</p> <p>The matter is not agreed between the Parties; however the outcome of the approach taken by the Applicant or P66 is not considered to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions. Discussions on this matter have concluded.</p>
	<p>In discussion</p> <p>This matter is neither 'agreed' or 'not agreed'. Technical work is being undertaken with the aim of achieving agreement, though the risk of disagreement remains.</p>
	<p>Not agreed</p> <p>The matter is not agreed between the Parties and the outcome of the approach taken by the Applicant or P66 is considered to result in a materially different impact to the assessment conclusions.</p>

Table 3-1 Position of the Parties

ID	Matter	Detail	Related documents and their references	Comments from the Parties	Agreed / Not Agreed
Consultation					
P1	Engagement	The pre-application engagement undertaken by the applicant has been proactive and professional.	N/A	Applicant: Agreed P66: Agreed	Agreed
P2	Project Information	Details of the project, including its need, have been provided.	N/A	Applicant: Agreed P66: Agreed	Agreed
Location and routing					
P4	Route Agreement	The Applicant undertook appropriate engagement with P66 in the siting of the Proposed Development, including selection of the pipeline route.	Environmental Statement - Volume II - Chapter 2: Design Evolution and Alternatives [APP-044]	Applicant: Agreed P66: Agreed	Agreed
P5	Route Agreement	The pipeline route selected for the Proposed Development is appropriate.	Work Plan (Part 1 of 2) [AS-046]	Applicant: Agreed. The Applicant submitted a change request to remove the option to route the pipeline through the refinery following further discussion with P66. P66: P66 supports the route option selected, following removal of Option 2 through the refinery.	Agreed
P6	Location of the Immingham Facilities	The Applicant and P66 have been in detailed discussions on the optimal location of the Immingham Facilities. The Parties agree that the location selected is appropriate.	Work Plan (Part 1 of 2) [AS-046]	Applicant: Agreed. The Parties are negotiating terms for the necessary rights to be acquired by the Applicant on a voluntary basis. These negotiations are at an advanced stage. P66: the location of the Immingham Facility is agreed in principle. The Parties are negotiating terms for the necessary rights to be acquired by the Applicant on a voluntary basis. These negotiations are at an advanced stage.	In discussion
Protective Provisions					
P7	Protective Provisions	The Parties are finalising protective provisions to ensure that appropriate protection and safeguarding measures are in place to protect P66's operational asserts. Both parties agree that appropriate protection needs to be in place and that P66 cannot suffer serious detriment to the carrying on of	N/A	Applicant: The parties are negotiating terms for the necessary rights to be acquired by the Applicant on a voluntary basis, together with a suitable form of protective provisions. These negotiations are at an advanced stage. P66: The parties are negotiating terms for the necessary rights to be acquired by the Applicant on a voluntary basis, together with a suitable form of	In discussion

ID	Matter	Detail	Related documents and their references	Comments from the Parties	Agreed / Not Agreed
		its undertaking as a result of the Proposed Development.		protective provisions. These negotiations are at an advanced stage.	

4 References

Ref 4-1 (*Author, Date*). Title. Accessed: Date. Available at:

